

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/706,430	11/03/2000	Sudhendu Rai	XXT-063 (D/AO130)	6566
7.	590 07/08/2004		EXAMI	INER
Patrick R. Ro	che	PHAM, THIERRY L		
Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP				
1100 Superior Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7th Floor			2624	
Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			DATE MAIL ED: 07/08/200/	, 7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/706,430	RAI ET AL.				
Office Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Thierry L Pham	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 09/706,430

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Owa et al (U.S. 6348971).

Regarding claim 1, Owa discloses in a printshop (printshop, fig. 1) having resources (i.e. a printshop having host computer and plurality of printers, fig. 1) for performing various tasks, a method, comprising the steps of:

- (1) dividing the resources into autonomous cells (each printer of fig. 1 accommodating in its own cell (space location)), wherein each cell has sufficient resources to produce a print job (a printer in each location (cell) has the capacities to complete the print job alone, fig. 1, col. 3, lines 15-67); and
- (2) assigning (the host computer assigning the print job (with printing attributes) to an appropriate printer to complete the print job, fig. 1-2, col. 3-4) each print job to a respective one or more of the cells for printing.

Regarding claim 2, Owa further discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the resources include equipment (printer, fig. 1) for performing printing tasks.

Regarding claim 3, Owa further discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the step of assigning print jobs comprises, for each given print job, determining what tasks (fig. 6, cols. 4-6) need to be performed to complete the given print job and assigning (the host computer assigning the print job with printing attributes to an appropriate printer to complete the print job, fig. 1-2, col. 3-6) the given print job to one of the autonomous cells that has resources for performing tasks that need to be performed to complete the given print job.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/706,430

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 4, Owa further discloses the method of claim 3 wherein the step of assigning print jobs comprises, for each given print job, determining (determining which printers have the capacities/resources to complete the print job as requested by users with printing attributes, cols. 3-6) which of the autonomous cells has sufficient available capacity to print the given print job.

Regarding claim 6, Owa further discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising the steps of: (1) determining classes (i.e. priority, cols. 5-6) of print jobs; (2) assigning each print job to one of the classes (assigning print job with priority, cols. 5-6).

Regarding claim 7, Owa further discloses the method of claim 6 wherein the determination of the class of print jobs is done based on collecting and analyzing the print job data (host computer having a detection and interpretation means for detecting and analyzing print job data and routing the print job data to an appropriate printer based upon analyzed print job data, fig. 2, cols. 5-6 and cols. 8-9).

Regarding claim 8, Owa further discloses the method of claim 6 wherein the step of assigning each print job to a respective one of the cells for printing is based in part on the classes to which the print jobs are assigned (assigning print job with priority, cols. 5-6).

Regarding claim 9, Owa further discloses the method of claim 1 wherein a selected one of the cells is assigned multiple print jobs for concurrently printing the multiple print jobs (cols. 3-6).

Regarding claim 16, Owa discloses a method of portioning a printshop into autonomous cells, comprising the step of:

- (1) identifying (host computers, fig. 1, cols. 3-4) products produce by the printshop;
- (2) identifying (host computes, fig. 1, cols. 3-4) operation required for producing each of the identified products;
- (3) determining (determines which printer is to complete the print job, fig. 1, cols. 3-6) printshop resources that are required for the identified operations;

Application/Control Number: 09/706,430

Art Unit: 2624

(4) partitioning printshop resources into autonomous cell based on the determined number of printshop resources required for operations to produce products based on customer demand for products, wherein each autonomous cell is independently capable of producing at least one of the identified products a printer in each location (cell) has the capacities to complete the print job alone, fig. 1, col. 3, lines 15-67).

Regarding claim 17, Owa further discloses the method of claim 16 wherein throughput of each autonomous cell is determined as a function of the printshop resources allocated to the autonomous cell, and wherein the printshop resources are allocated to each autonomous cell based on customer demand (fig. 1, col. 3, lines 15-67 and col. 8-9).

Regarding claims 19-20, please see rejection rationale/basis as described in claims 6-7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 5, 10-15, 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Owa as described in claim 1 above, and in view of Smirnov et al (U.S. 6546364).

Regarding claim 5, Owa does not explicitly disclose wherein at least one of the autonomous cells includes more than one machine for performing a same operation.

Smirnov, in the same field of endeavor for printshop (abstract), teaches the autonomous cells includes more than one machine for performing a same operation (fig. 2, col. 4, lines 1-36 and cols. 7-8).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/706,430

Art Unit: 2624

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Owa as per teachings of Smirnov because of a following reason:

(1) more than one machine performing a print job, thereby, increasing productivity and optimizing production costs/times (Smirnov, col. 4, lines 18-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Owa with Smirnov to obtain the invention as specified in claim 5.

Regarding claim 10, please see rejection rationale/basis as described in claim 5 above.

Regarding claim 11, Smirnov further teaches wherein each cell contains multiple pieces of equipment for completing a print job (fig. 2, col. 4, lines 1-36 and cols. 7-8).

Regarding claims 12-13, Owa further discloses wherein the printshop has more than two autonomous cells and equal size (fig. 1).

Regarding claims 14-15 & 22, Owa further discloses wherein the dividing step is performed automatically by a machine, and a machine is a computer system (host computer, fig. 1, cols. 3-6). Please also see Smirnov (computer system model 10, figs. 1-2, col. 4, lines 1-36 and cols. 7-8)

Regarding claim 21, Smirnov further teaches the step of dividing a print job into smaller sized lots and concurrently processing the smaller sized lots in the selected autonomous cell (fig. 2, col. 4, lines 1-36 and cols. 7-8).

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thierry L Pham whose telephone number is (703) 305-1897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

Application/Control Number: 09/706,430

Art Unit: 2624

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K Moore can be reached on (703)308-7452. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thierry L. Pham

GABRIEL GARCIA